

Universal Beta Incidence Angles: Cauchy Rigidity and Infinite Arrangements

Tianle Liu

Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
tianletl@unc.edu

August 2026

Abstract

Let U be Haar-uniform on the unit sphere, and let a weighted central hyperplane arrangement define

$$g(U) = \sum_j w_j \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top U}, \quad N(U) = \frac{g(U)}{\|g(U)\|}.$$

Although $N(U)$ is a deterministic, generally non-Haar function of U , we prove that its squared incidence cosine with U has the same beta law as the squared inner product of two independent Haar directions. One proof combines the classical Herglotz–Cauchy boundary principle, a Haar-random two-plane with a common phase, and an exact beta–Cauchy Mellin equivalence; a second specializes the positive-semidefinite Pillai–Meng identity.

Beyond this marginal law, plane-conditional Cauchy identities recover positivity for finite reciprocal arrangements. For signed measures, an exact phase-cancellation deficit equals twice the hidden negative mass, yielding local-to-global positivity under a phase-norming condition weaker than injectivity, a cross-sign collision criterion, and exclusion of negative atoms. The simplex is the maximal normalized real-weight class for finite universality.

The law extends to probability measures under reciprocal integrability, which we characterize by a Wiener–Dini belt series. Finite Shannon entropy is the sharp universal criterion for countable weights, and an entropy–geometry theorem treats clustered measures. Every compact carrier of zero $\mathcal{H}^1$ -measure is admissible, whereas a nonzero rectifiable arc component forces divergence on a set of positive Haar measure. In orthogonal coordinates, the theorem also yields an exact scaled F law for Pearson divergence from a fixed simplex vector to a Dirichlet($1/2, \dots, 1/2$) vector.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60E05; secondary 30J05, 60D05.

Keywords. Cauchy invariance; Haar measure; hyperplane arrangement; logarithmic gradient; random direction; beta distribution; random series; Clark measure; Hausdorff dimension.

1 Introduction

Let $a_1, \dots, a_k$ be arbitrary nonzero vectors in $\mathbb{R}^p$, let $w_1, \dots, w_k$ be nonnegative weights summing to one, and let U be Haar-uniform on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$. Away from the arrangement hyperplanes, consider

$$g_{a,w}(U) = \sum_{j=1}^k w_j \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top U}, \quad N_{a,w}(U) = \frac{g_{a,w}(U)}{\|g_{a,w}(U)\|}.$$

The weight normalization gives $U^\top g_{a,w}(U) = 1$. The angle between U and $N_{a,w}(U)$ therefore lies in $[0, \pi/2)$ and satisfies

$$\{U^\top N_{a,w}(U)\}^2 = \frac{1}{\|g_{a,w}(U)\|^2}.$$

Our starting phenomenon is that

$$\{U^\top N_{a,w}(U)\}^2 \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

independently of the number, positions, rank, or overcompleteness of the directions and independently of the simplex weights. The law in [Eq. \(1.1\)](#) is exactly the squared-cosine law for two independent Haar directions. Thus a deterministic, generally non-Haar function of U mimics independence through one scalar incidence observable.

There are two routes to [Eq. \(1.1\)](#). In the planar route, a Herglotz boundary theorem proves the weighted shifted-tangent Cauchy identity; a Haar-random two-plane supplies one common uniform phase; and an exact Mellin equivalence lifts the Cauchy projection back to the spherical Beta angle. The Gaussian route then specializes the Pillai–Meng Cauchy–Lévy theorem [[PM16](#)]: choose the possibly singular Gram covariance $A^\top A$ and apply Gaussian polar decomposition. The Pillai–Meng proof itself uses the same weighted shifted-tangent identity after extracting a common angular phase. The planar derivation does not invoke the Pillai–Meng theorem, while the two routes share this classical one-dimensional Cauchy-preserver mechanism. We present both before developing the converse and infinite-arrangement theory.

The Pillai–Meng theorem contains the finite distributional law through its positive-semidefinite covariance formulation. The incidence viewpoint identifies the associated Euler-normalized normal field and the independent-Haar squared-cosine law realized by its deterministic coupling with U . The planar route also reveals the conditional structure used below for the converse and infinite-arrangement results.

The organizing principle is a hierarchy of information. The unconditional Beta marginal fixes only a tangent norm. Plane-conditional Cauchy laws recover substantially more reciprocal structure. An oriented analytic condition recovers the sign information that a symmetric distribution necessarily loses. Counterexamples at the first two transitions show why each strengthening is needed.

The main results determine the scope of this phenomenon.

- (i) For every measurable tangent field, the Beta law for its tangent norm is equivalent to a standard Cauchy projection onto one conditionally Haar tangent direction. An explicit swirl field shows that this scalar equivalence does not characterize gradients or common phase.
- (ii) A signed Herglotz tail theorem makes positivity equivalent to a standard Cauchy boundary law. This yields conditional rigidity for each fixed finite arrangement. In a nonlinear zonal class, an Abel-transform argument identifies every admissible magnitude and isolates the unavoidable measurable sign and radial-dual obstructions.
- (iii) The incidence law extends to Borel probability measures whenever the reciprocal vector field is absolutely integrable almost surely. Moreover, this integrability forces almost every planar phase projection to be singular and recovers the conditional Cauchy mechanism.
- (iv) For signed measures, the conditional laws make every planar pushforward positive and identify an exact phase-cancellation deficit equal to twice the hidden negative mass. Positivity follows under a phase-norming condition that is strictly weaker than injectivity; a cross-sign collision theorem and a no-negative-atoms theorem give concrete consequences.

- (v) An exact Wiener–Dini series characterizes reciprocal integrability pointwise. For countable positive arrangements, finite Shannon entropy is necessary and sufficient uniformly over deterministic directions, while an entropy–geometry gluing theorem covers clustered measures beyond that criterion.
- (vi) At the critical geometric threshold, every compact carrier of zero one-dimensional Hausdorff measure is admissible, including dimension-one examples, whereas any nonzero rectifiable arc component forces divergence on a set of positive Haar measure.

One coordinate consequence is especially easy to state. If $S \sim \text{Dirichlet}(1/2, \dots, 1/2)$, then for every fixed simplex vector w , the Pearson divergence satisfies

$$D_{\chi^2}(w||S) \stackrel{d}{=} (p-1)F_{p-1,1}.$$

Thus the incidence theorem also produces a weight-free exact law in classical distribution theory; see [Corollary 9.2](#).

The arrangement master functions and logarithmic one-forms underlying the construction are classical [\[CDFV12\]](#). Related logarithmic incidence potentials and log-cosine representations occur in [\[Hej04, KKYY07\]](#), while generalized cosine transforms provide a wider integral-geometric context [\[Rub08\]](#). The analytic ingredients belong to classical Herglotz–Clark, Herglotz–Pick boundary-distribution, and Cauchy-preserver theory [\[Cla72, PW67, Wil69, Let77, Pol96, AW15\]](#). Our focus is their incidence-geometric synthesis: the Haar pushforward, its independent planar proof, converse mechanisms, and sharp finite and infinite boundaries. We make no claim that the classical Cauchy or boundary-distribution inputs are new.

The paper is organized as follows. [Section 2](#) introduces the radial–normal incidence geometry, while [Sections 3](#) and [4](#) develop the planar analytic and random-plane foundation. [Section 5](#) closes the planar proof through the Beta–Cauchy equivalence and then records the Pillai–Meng route. The next three sections ask, successively, how reversible and how extensible the finite theorem is. [Section 6](#) shows why the Beta marginal alone is too weak for a structural converse and identifies stronger conditional forms that do yield rigidity. [Section 7](#) passes from finite sums to probability and signed measures, and [Section 8](#) determines when the absolute integrability needed for that extension actually holds. Finally, [Section 9](#) gives equivalent probabilistic and geometric forms.

2 The incidence object

2.1 Arbitrary central arrangements

Fix an ambient dimension $p \geq 2$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ be any finite collection. No spanning, independence, or general-position hypothesis is imposed. Let $w_j \geq 0$, $\sum_j w_j = 1$, and discard indices with $w_j = 0$.

Define the exceptional set

$$\mathcal{H} := \bigcup_{j:w_j>0} \{u \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1} : a_j^\top u = 0\}. \quad (2.1)$$

It has spherical Haar measure zero. On $\mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{H}$, define

$$\Phi_{a,w}(u) := \prod_{j:w_j>0} |a_j^\top u|^{w_j}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$g_{a,w}(u) := \nabla \log \Phi_{a,w}(u) = \sum_{j:w_j>0} w_j \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top u}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$N_{a,w}(u) := \frac{g_{a,w}(u)}{\|g_{a,w}(u)\|}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$H_{a,w}(u) := \frac{1}{\|g_{a,w}(u)\|^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

The function $\Phi_{a,w}$ is homogeneous of degree one. Euler's identity therefore gives

$$u^\top g_{a,w}(u) = \sum_j w_j = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, $g_{a,w}(u) \neq 0$, and $N_{a,w}(u)$ is a well-defined unit vector. If $\Theta_{a,w}(u)$ is the angle between u and $N_{a,w}(u)$, then

$$\cos \Theta_{a,w}(u) = u^\top N_{a,w}(u) = \frac{1}{\|g_{a,w}(u)\|} > 0, \quad \cos^2 \Theta_{a,w}(u) = H_{a,w}(u). \quad (2.7)$$

Thus $\Theta_{a,w}(u) \in [0, \pi/2)$. Cauchy–Schwarz applied to [Eq. \(2.6\)](#) also gives $0 < H_{a,w}(u) \leq 1$.

2.2 Tangent-hyperplane meaning

For fixed $u \notin \mathcal{H}$, consider the level hypersurface

$$\mathcal{M}_u := \{x : \Phi_{a,w}(x) = \Phi_{a,w}(u)\} \quad (2.8)$$

inside the chamber containing u . Its normal at u is $g_{a,w}(u)$. By [Eq. \(2.6\)](#), its affine tangent hyperplane is

$$\mathcal{T}_u = \{x : g_{a,w}(u)^\top x = 1\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Consequently,

$$H_{a,w}(u) = \text{dist}(0, \mathcal{T}_u)^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus the incidence variable is simultaneously the squared cosine in [Eq. \(2.7\)](#) and the squared origin-to-tangent-plane distance in [Eq. \(2.10\)](#).

2.3 Universal law

Theorem 2.1 (Universal Beta incidence-angle law). *Let $p \geq 2$, let $U \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{p-1})$, and let the finite collection $a_1, \dots, a_k$ and the simplex weights satisfy the conditions above. Then*

$$H_{a,w}(U) = \{U^\top N_{a,w}(U)\}^2 \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right). \quad (2.11)$$

The distribution is independent of k , of the locations and linear relations among the a_j 's, and of the simplex weights.

If U_0, V_0 are independent Haar directions on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, then

$$(U_0^\top V_0)^2 \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right). \quad (2.12)$$

Hence [Theorem 2.1](#) says

$$U^\top N_{a,w}(U) \stackrel{d}{=} |U_0^\top V_0|. \quad (2.13)$$

The equality concerns only this one scalar observable. The direction $N_{a,w}(U)$ is a deterministic function of U , need not be Haar, and is not independent of U .

3 The planar analytic engine

The independent planar proof begins with a one-dimensional boundary theorem. Write $\mathbb{T}_\pi = \mathbb{R}/(\pi\mathbb{Z})$, equipped with normalized Lebesgue measure m_π .

3.1 Historical placement

The finite rational ancestor is Boole's 1857 level-set identity for sums of simple fractions with positive residues [\[Boo57\]](#). A probabilistic line developed through the common-phase Cauchy preservers of Pitman–Williams and Williams [\[PW67, Wil69\]](#); its positive weighted shifted-tangent identity was later used as Lemma 3.1 by Pillai–Meng [\[PM16\]](#). In a parallel disk-theoretic line, Nordgren proved that the boundary map of an inner function fixing the origin preserves circle Haar measure [\[Nor68\]](#). Herglotz–Clark representation turns that statement into a Cauchy boundary law, and Letac placed such boundary functions inside the theory of Cauchy-preserving maps [\[Cla72, Let77, CMR06\]](#).

Aizenman–Warzel made the half-plane connection especially explicit: their Herglotz–Pick framework yields Cauchy boundary laws for positive singular spectral measures and extends Boole's identity beyond finite point measures [\[AW15\]](#). For signed and complex measures, Poltoratski's weak-* boundary-distribution theorem recovers the singular total-variation measure from large values of the Cauchy transform [\[Pol96\]](#); see also the signed/complex formulation in [\[CMR06, Theorem 8.3\]](#). Thus the distributional principles below are classical. Their role here is to provide the precise circle formulation needed by the incidence problem. The secant condition both identifies the boundary value with an ordinary tangent integral that is absolutely convergent and forces the representing measure to be singular.

3.2 A circle formulation

Lemma 3.1 (Secant integrability forces singularity). *Let ν be a finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{T}_\pi$. If*

$$J_\nu(\phi) := \int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} \frac{1}{|\cos(\phi - \alpha)|} \nu(d\alpha) < \infty \quad \text{for } m_\pi\text{-almost every } \phi, \quad (3.1)$$

then ν is singular with respect to m_π .

Proof. Write the absolutely continuous part of ν , in a local real representative of the circle, as $f(\alpha) d\alpha$. If it is nonzero, the set of Lebesgue points x with $f(x) > 0$ has positive measure. At each such point,

$$\int_{d_\pi(\alpha, x) < \varepsilon} \frac{f(\alpha)}{d_\pi(\alpha, x)} d\alpha = \infty,$$

where d_π is circular distance. Indeed, the mass of the radius- t interval is asymptotic to $2f(x)t$, and layer cake against $t^{-2} dt$ diverges. For $\phi = x + \pi/2$ modulo π ,

$$|\cos(\phi - \alpha)| = |\sin(x - \alpha)| \leq d_\pi(\alpha, x)$$

locally. Thus $J_\nu(\phi) = \infty$ on a positive-measure translate, contrary to [Eq. \(3.1\)](#). $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (Planar Herglotz–Cauchy principle). *Let ν be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{T}_\pi$ satisfying [Eq. \(3.1\)](#). Then the ordinary integral*

$$F_\nu(\phi) := \int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} \tan(\phi - \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) \quad (3.2)$$

is absolutely convergent for m_π -almost every ϕ , and

$$F_\nu(\Phi) \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1), \quad \Phi \sim m_\pi. \quad (3.3)$$

The representing measure ν is necessarily singular.

Proof. Absolute convergence follows from $|\tan x| \leq |\cos x|^{-1}$, and singularity is the conclusion of [Lemma 3.1](#). Define, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} i \frac{1 - e^{-2i\alpha} z}{1 + e^{-2i\alpha} z} \nu(d\alpha). \quad (3.4)$$

This analytic function maps $\mathbb{D}$ into the upper half-plane and satisfies $\mathcal{F}_\nu(0) = i$. If [Eq. \(3.1\)](#) holds at ϕ , then, for $1/2 \leq \rho < 1$,

$$\left| i \frac{1 - \rho e^{2i(\phi - \alpha)}}{1 + \rho e^{2i(\phi - \alpha)}} \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\cos(\phi - \alpha)|}.$$

Dominated convergence as $\rho \uparrow 1$ therefore identifies the radial boundary value

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu^*(e^{2i\phi}) = F_\nu(\phi) \quad \text{for } m_\pi\text{-almost every } \phi. \quad (3.5)$$

The Cayley transform

$$B_\nu(z) := \frac{1 + i\mathcal{F}_\nu(z)}{1 - i\mathcal{F}_\nu(z)} \quad (3.6)$$

is a Schur function, $B_\nu(0) = 0$, and [Eq. \(3.5\)](#) gives unimodular boundary values almost everywhere. Let $m_\mathbb{T}$ be Haar probability on the unit circle, let B_ν^* denote the radial boundary function, and set $\lambda = (B_\nu^*)_\# m_\mathbb{T}$. For every integer $n \geq 1$, the mean-value identity followed by dominated radial convergence gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^n \lambda(d\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \{B_\nu^*(\zeta)\}^n m_\mathbb{T}(d\zeta) = B_\nu(0)^n = 0.$$

The negative moments vanish by conjugation. Fourier–Stieltjes uniqueness therefore gives $\lambda = m_\mathbb{T}$; this is the standard measure-preserving property of an inner function fixing the origin [\[Nor68\]](#).

Finally, 2Φ is uniform modulo 2π , and the inverse Cayley transform sends circle Haar measure to the standard Cauchy law. Combining this fact with [Eq. \(3.5\)](#) proves [Eq. \(3.3\)](#). $\square$

Corollary 3.3 (Weighted shifted-tangent identity). *For arbitrary $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{T}_\pi$, simplex weights $w_1, \dots, w_k$, and $\Phi \sim m_\pi$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k w_j \tan(\Phi - \alpha_j) \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Apply [Theorem 3.2](#) to $\nu = \sum_j w_j \delta_{\alpha_j}$. Its secant integral is finite away from finitely many phases. $\square$

Lemma 3.4 (Pole-tail formula for signed tangent sums). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be distinct modulo π , let $c_1, \dots, c_m$ be nonzero real numbers, and let*

$$F(\phi) := \sum_{\ell=1}^m c_\ell \tan(\phi - \alpha_\ell).$$

For $\Phi \sim m_\pi$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x \mathbb{P}\{|F(\Phi)| > x\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^m |c_\ell|. \quad (3.8)$$

Consequently, if $\sum_\ell c_\ell = 1$ and $F(\Phi)$ is standard Cauchy, then every c_ℓ is positive.

Proof. The poles $\beta_\ell = \alpha_\ell + \pi/2$ are distinct. Choose disjoint circle neighborhoods of them. As $h \rightarrow 0$,

$$F(\beta_\ell + h) = -\frac{c_\ell}{h} + O(1),$$

while F is bounded outside those neighborhoods. A squeeze at each pole therefore shows that the set where $|F| > x$ has local length $2|c_\ell|x^{-1} + o(x^{-1})$. Summing the disjoint contributions and dividing by the circle length π proves [Eq. \(3.8\)](#). A standard Cauchy variable has tail constant $2/\pi$. Hence $\sum_\ell |c_\ell| = 1 = |\sum_\ell c_\ell|$, and equality in the triangle inequality forces all coefficients to have the same positive sign. $\square$

The distinctness assumption is essential because coincident poles reveal only the combined residue. For example, $2 \tan \Phi - \tan \Phi = \tan \Phi$ is standard Cauchy although one displayed coefficient is negative. This is why projectively coincident directions are combined before [Lemma 3.4](#) is used below.

Theorem 3.5 (Signed one-plane rigidity). *Let ν be a finite real signed measure on $\mathbb{T}_\pi$, singular with respect to m_π , and suppose that $\nu(\mathbb{T}_\pi) = 1$. Define*

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} i \frac{1 - e^{-2i\alpha} z}{1 + e^{-2i\alpha} z} \nu(d\alpha),$$

and let $\mathcal{F}_\nu^*$ be its nontangential boundary value, which is finite and real almost everywhere. If $S(\alpha) = \alpha + \pi/2$ modulo π , then, weak-* as finite measures,

$$\pi x \mathbf{1}_{\{|\mathcal{F}_\nu^*| > x\}} m_\pi \longrightarrow 2S_\# |\nu|. \quad (3.9)$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x m_\pi \{|\mathcal{F}_\nu^*(e^{2i\phi})| > x\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \|\nu\|_{\text{TV}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu^*(e^{2i\Phi}) \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1) \iff \nu \text{ is a positive probability measure.}$$

Proof. Push ν to a signed measure τ on the unit circle under $\alpha \mapsto -e^{2i\alpha}$, and write

$$K_\tau(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z} \tau(d\zeta).$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_\nu = i(2K_\tau - 1)$. The boundary-distribution theorem for Cauchy integrals reconstructs the singular total-variation measure weak-* from the two-sided large-value sets: for every finite complex measure τ ,

$$\pi\lambda \mathbf{1}_{\{|K_\tau^*| > \lambda\}} m_{\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow |\tau_s|.$$

This is Poltoratski's signed/complex theorem [Pol96]; see also [CMR06, Theorem 8.3]. Standard Cauchy-transform boundary theory also supplies finite nontangential boundary values almost everywhere. Since τ is real, singular, and has mass one,

$$2 \operatorname{Re} K_\tau - 1 = P[\tau]$$

where $P[\tau]$ is the Poisson integral. Fatou's theorem therefore gives $\operatorname{Re}(2K_\tau^* - 1) = 0$ almost everywhere. Consequently,

$$|K_\tau^*| = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\mathcal{F}_\nu^*|^2}.$$

Taking $\lambda = \sqrt{1 + x^2}/2$, noting that $x/\lambda \rightarrow 2$, and transporting circle Haar measure through the phase parametrization proves Eq. (3.9); the pole corresponding to α occurs at $S(\alpha)$. Taking total masses in Eq. (3.9) proves Eq. (3.10). If the boundary variable is standard Cauchy, its two-sided tail constant is $2/\pi$, so $\|\nu\|_{\text{TV}} = 1 = \nu(\mathbb{T}_\pi)$. The Jordan decomposition then forces the negative part of ν to vanish. Conversely, positivity reduces the claim to the inner-function argument in Theorem 3.2; no secant hypothesis is needed for the nontangential boundary statement. $\square$

Remark. Theorem 3.5 concerns nontangential Herglotz boundary values. To identify those values with the ordinary integral $\int \tan(\phi - \alpha) \nu(d\alpha)$, one must separately assume absolute secant integrability for the total variation measure. The finite pole formula in Lemma 3.4 is the elementary atomic case of the same tail principle.

Lemma 3.6 (Ordinary tangent integrals are Herglotz boundary values). *Let ν be a finite real signed measure on $\mathbb{T}_\pi$ and suppose that*

$$J_{|\nu|}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} \frac{1}{|\cos(\phi - \alpha)|} |\nu|(d\alpha) < \infty$$

for almost every ϕ . Then the ordinary integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} \tan(\phi - \alpha) \nu(d\alpha)$$

is absolutely convergent and agrees almost everywhere with the nontangential boundary value of $\mathcal{F}_\nu$.

Proof. The domination estimate used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 applies to $|\nu|$ after normalization and identifies the radial limit by dominated convergence. A Cauchy transform of a finite signed measure is a difference of two positive Cauchy transforms and belongs to H^q for every $0 < q < 1$. Its radial and nontangential boundary values therefore exist and agree almost everywhere [Dur70, CMR06]. $\square$

Theorem 3.7 (Oriented analytic converse). *Let $h : \mathbb{T}_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and finite almost everywhere, and put*

$$b_h(e^{2i\phi}) := \frac{1 + ih(\phi)}{1 - ih(\phi)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Assume that b_h is the radial boundary function of a Schur function B on $\mathbb{D}$. Then B is inner, and

$$h(\Phi) \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1) \iff B(0) = 0.$$

When these equivalent conditions hold, $i(1 - B)/(1 + B)$ has a unique Herglotz representation by a singular probability measure. Conversely, every singular probability measure gives an inner B fixing the origin and a Cauchy boundary function in this way.

Proof. Because h is real, $|b_h| = 1$ almost everywhere, so the assumed Schur function is inner. If $h(\Phi)$ is standard Cauchy, then $(b_h)_\# m_{\mathbb{T}} = m_{\mathbb{T}}$, whence

$$B(0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} b_h \, dm_{\mathbb{T}} = 0.$$

Conversely, if $B(0) = 0$, the moment argument in the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#) shows that its boundary map preserves Haar measure, and inverse Cayley gives the Cauchy law. The remaining assertions are the normalized Herglotz representation and the Clark correspondence [[Cla72](#), [CMR06](#)]. $\square$

Remark (Why Cauchy preservation alone is not a Clark converse). Without the Schur boundary hypothesis in [Theorem 3.7](#), the conclusion is false. For example,

$$h(\phi) = -\tan \phi, \quad b_h(e^{2i\phi}) = e^{-2i\phi}.$$

The first variable is standard Cauchy and the second map preserves Haar measure, but it is anti-analytic and is not the boundary function of any member of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Thus the Cauchy law identifies measurable circle-measure preservation, not an analytic orientation. Even in the inner case, Herglotz theory gives a nontangential boundary value; the ordinary integral in [Eq. \(3.2\)](#) requires the separate secant condition [Eq. \(3.1\)](#).

4 The random-two-frame proof

The argument combines spherical Haar geometry, the weighted tangent identity in [Eq. \(3.7\)](#), and a Mellin identification step.

4.1 Uniform phase on a random plane

Let U be Haar on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$. Conditional on U , choose V Haar-uniform on

$$\{v \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1} : v^\top U = 0\}.$$

Then (U, V) is a Haar orthonormal two-frame. Equivalently, it is the first two columns of a Haar matrix in $O(p)$.

Lemma 4.1 (Uniform orientation within the random plane). *Let $P = \text{span}\{U, V\}$, equipped with the orientation induced by the ordered frame. Conditional on the oriented plane P , the frame's in-plane rotation is Haar-uniform on $SO(2)$.*

Proof. For every deterministic planar rotation R_δ , right invariance of Haar measure on $O(p)$ gives

$$[U \ V] R_\delta \stackrel{d}{=} [U \ V].$$

Right rotation leaves the oriented plane fixed and shifts the in-plane angle by δ . Disintegrate the frame law over the oriented Grassmannian and first take δ in a countable dense subgroup of $SO(2)$. Outside one common null set of planes, every corresponding conditional law is invariant under all those shifts. Weak continuity of translation then extends the invariance to every $\delta \in SO(2)$. Uniqueness of Haar probability on $SO(2)$ makes the conditional law uniform. $\square$

For an equivalent Gaussian construction of the same invariance, take a $p \times 2$ matrix G of independent standard normals and set $Q = G(G^\top G)^{-1/2}$. Then $Q = [U \ V]$, and isotropy gives $GR_\delta \stackrel{d}{=} G$. The equivariance $Q(GR_\delta) = Q(G)R_\delta$ proves the same right-rotation invariance.

4.2 The Cauchy tangent projection

Decompose the logarithmic gradient into radial and tangent components:

$$g_{a,w}(U) = U + T(U), \quad T(U) := g_{a,w}(U) - U \in U^\perp. \quad (4.1)$$

The equality of the radial component to U follows from [Eq. \(2.6\)](#). Define the scalar random tangent projection

$$Z := T(U)^\top V. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $U^\top V = 0$,

$$Z = g_{a,w}(U)^\top V = \sum_{j=1}^k w_j \frac{a_j^\top V}{a_j^\top U}. \quad (4.3)$$

Condition on the oriented plane P , not on the full pair (U, V) . Fix an oriented orthonormal basis (e_1, e_2) of P . By [Lemma 4.1](#), for one common uniform angle ϕ ,

$$U = e_1 \cos \phi + e_2 \sin \phi, \quad V = -e_1 \sin \phi + e_2 \cos \phi. \quad (4.4)$$

For almost every P , the projection of every active a_j onto P is nonzero. Write it as

$$\text{proj}_P(a_j) = r_j(e_1 \cos \alpha_j + e_2 \sin \alpha_j), \quad r_j > 0.$$

Then, simultaneously for all j ,

$$\frac{a_j^\top V}{a_j^\top U} = -\tan(\phi - \alpha_j). \quad (4.5)$$

The same ϕ occurs in every term; the arrangement only determines the fixed shifts. The uniform angle modulo 2π is uniform modulo π , and the minus sign in [Eq. \(4.5\)](#) is immaterial by symmetry of the Cauchy law. Applying [Eq. \(3.7\)](#) conditionally on P gives

$$\mathcal{L}(Z \mid P) = \text{Cauchy}(0, 1) \quad \text{for almost every } P, \quad Z \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1). \quad (4.6)$$

No comparison of angular coordinates across different random planes is needed: the conditional law is the same for almost every plane.

5 The Beta–Cauchy equivalence and the Pillai–Meng route

The planar analysis above has two roles: it supplies the Cauchy projection in the two-frame proof, and the same weighted-tangent mechanism appears in the original Pillai–Meng proof. We first close the two-frame argument with the exact Beta–Cauchy tangent-projection equivalence and then record the alternative Gaussian derivation through the Pillai–Meng theorem.

5.1 The Beta–Cauchy tangent-projection equivalence

The following equivalence does not require an arrangement structure; it holds for every measurable tangent field.

Theorem 5.1 (Beta–Cauchy tangent-projection equivalence). *Let $p \geq 2$, let $U \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{p-1})$, and let $T : \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ be a measurable vector field satisfying*

$$u^\top T(u) = 0$$

for Haar-almost every u . Conditional on U , let V be Haar-uniform on the unit sphere in $U^\perp$, and define

$$R := \|T(U)\|, \quad Z_T := T(U)^\top V, \quad H_T := \frac{1}{1 + R^2}. \quad (5.1)$$

Then

$$H_T \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right) \iff Z_T \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1). \quad (5.2)$$

Equivalently, the universal Beta law characterizes the distribution of the tangent norm through one conditionally Haar tangent projection.

Proof. On either side of [Eq. \(5.2\)](#), $R > 0$ almost surely. For the Beta law this follows because the distribution has no mass at one. For the Cauchy law it follows from $\{R = 0\} \subseteq \{Z_T = 0\}$.

On the event $R > 0$, define $Q := Z_T/R$. Given U , isotropy of V then gives the almost-sure factorization

$$Z_T = RQ, \quad (5.3)$$

where Q has the law of the first coordinate of a Haar point on $\mathbb{S}^{p-2}$. Its conditional law does not depend on U , so Q is independent of R . When $p = 2$, Q is Rademacher. For every $p \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}|Q|^s = \frac{\Gamma((s+1)/2)\Gamma((p-1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma((p-1+s)/2)}, \quad s > -1. \quad (5.4)$$

Suppose first that H_T has the asserted Beta law. The transformation in [Eq. \(5.1\)](#) gives

$$R^2 \sim \text{BetaPrime}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}R^s = \frac{\Gamma((p-1+s)/2)\Gamma((1-s)/2)}{\Gamma((p-1)/2)\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad -(p-1) < s < 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Combining [Eqs. \(5.3\)](#) to [\(5.5\)](#) gives

$$\mathbb{E}|Z_T|^s = \frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2)\Gamma((1+s)/2)}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\cos(\pi s/2)}, \quad -1 < s < 1.$$

This is the Mellin transform of the absolute value of a standard Cauchy variable. It identifies the law because it is the moment-generating function of $\log |Z_T|$ on an open interval containing zero. The conditional distribution of Z_T given U is symmetric, so Z_T itself is standard Cauchy.

Conversely, suppose $Z_T \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1)$. Tonelli's theorem and the independence in [Eq. \(5.3\)](#) give, for $-1 < s < 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}R^s = \frac{\mathbb{E}|Z_T|^s}{\mathbb{E}|Q|^s}.$$

Using the Cauchy Mellin transform and Eq. (5.4) recovers Eq. (5.5). The moment-generating function of $\log R$ therefore identifies

$$R^2 \sim \text{BetaPrime}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

The transformation $H_T = (1 + R^2)^{-1}$ proves the required Beta law. $\square$

For the arrangement field in Eq. (4.1), Eq. (4.6) and Theorem 5.1 now give

$$R^2 \sim \text{BetaPrime}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad H_{a,w}(U) \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right),$$

which completes the planar proof of Theorem 2.1.

5.2 The Pillai–Meng Gaussian route

A second route passes through the positive-semidefinite Gaussian ratio identity of Pillai and Meng [PM16]. Their proof also invokes the weighted shifted-tangent identity in Eq. (3.7): an angular change of variables extracts one common uniform phase conditional on the remaining phase differences. Here we instead take their theorem as the input and derive the incidence law from it.

Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be independent with

$$X, Y \sim N_m(0, \Sigma),$$

where Σ is positive semidefinite and $\Sigma_{jj} > 0$ for every j . For deterministic simplex weights, Pillai and Meng proved

$$\sum_{j=1}^m w_j \frac{X_j}{Y_j} \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1). \quad (5.6)$$

Conditioning the left side on Y gives a centered normal variable with random variance

$$L_{\Sigma,w}(Y) := \left(\frac{w}{Y}\right)^\top \Sigma \left(\frac{w}{Y}\right), \quad \frac{w}{Y} := \left(\frac{w_j}{Y_j}\right)_{j=1}^m. \quad (5.7)$$

Uniqueness of centered Gaussian variance mixtures yields

$$L_{\Sigma,w}(Y)^{-1} \sim \chi_1^2. \quad (5.8)$$

Apply this result with $m = k$. Let $A = [a_1, \dots, a_k]$, put $\Sigma = A^\top A$, and write a standard Gaussian vector as $Z = RU$, where $R^2 \sim \chi_p^2$ is independent of U . With $Y = A^\top Z = RA^\top U$,

$$L_{A^\top A,w}(A^\top Z) = \frac{\|g_{a,w}(U)\|^2}{R^2}.$$

Thus Eq. (5.8) gives $R^2/\|g_{a,w}(U)\|^2 \sim \chi_1^2$. Beta–gamma Mellin deconvolution, using the independence of R and U , proves Eq. (2.11). Singular Gram matrices are allowed, so repeated, dependent, and overcomplete arrangements are included.

The Gaussian route therefore locates the finite marginal inside the Pillai–Meng Cauchy–Lévy identity. The planar route additionally retains the oriented boundary map and the conditional plane-wise phase used in the converse and infinite-arrangement results below.

6 Three levels of rigidity and finite scope

The universal theorem is a forward implication: positive reciprocal coefficients produce the Beta incidence marginal. This section separates three possible reversals. The marginal itself determines only the tangent norm and admits non-gradient counterexamples. Requiring the Cauchy law on almost every oriented two-plane recovers positivity for a fixed reciprocal arrangement and determines the magnitude in a nonlinear zonal class, although orientation remains ambiguous. Requiring universality over every finite arrangement yields the sharp coefficient-level converse: the simplex is the maximal normalized real-weight class.

6.1 Degenerate and boundary cases

The proof records the following edge cases explicitly.

- The theorem is stated for $p \geq 2$. For $p = 1$, there is no random tangent direction and the incidence square is identically one; the displayed Beta parameter would be zero.
- When $p = 2$, the tangent unit sphere is $\mathbb{S}^0$, so Q is a sign and $R = |Z|$. Thus $R^2 \sim \text{BetaPrime}(1/2, 1/2)$, and the equivalence remains valid with this Rademacher projection factor.
- Zero weights are removed before defining the exceptional set. They contribute neither a logarithm nor a ratio.
- Every active a_j must be nonzero. Then $\mathbb{P}(a_j^\top U = 0) = 0$. For a finite arrangement, their union remains null.
- A fixed nonzero a_j has zero projection onto a Haar-random two-plane only on a Grassmannian-null event. Thus the planar polar coordinates used in [Eq. \(4.5\)](#) are valid almost surely.
- Linear dependence, repeated hyperplanes, collinearity, and failure to span $\mathbb{R}^p$ cause no difficulty. The proof never inverts the arrangement matrix.

6.2 The Beta marginal does not characterize logarithmic gradients

The converse in [Theorem 5.1](#) concerns only the tangent-norm distribution. It does not recover a logarithmic-gradient, arrangement, or plane-conditional common-phase structure.

Example 6.1 (A non-gradient swirl with the universal Beta marginal). Let $p = 3$, write $u = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, and let $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$. Away from the great circle $\{x = 0\}$, define

$$T_{\text{sw}}(u) := \frac{e_1 \times u}{|e_1^\top u|} = \frac{(0, -z, y)}{|x|}, \quad G_{\text{sw}}(u) := u + T_{\text{sw}}(u). \quad (6.1)$$

Extend T_{sw} by zero on $\{x = 0\}$; this makes it a measurable tangent field on all of $\mathbb{S}^2$ without changing any distribution below. The field is tangent because $u^\top T_{\text{sw}}(u) = 0$, and

$$\|T_{\text{sw}}(u)\|^2 = \frac{1 - x^2}{x^2}.$$

Consequently, if $N_{\text{sw}} = G_{\text{sw}} / \|G_{\text{sw}}\|$, then

$$\{u^\top N_{\text{sw}}(u)\}^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \|T_{\text{sw}}(u)\|^2} = x^2. \quad (6.2)$$

For $U \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^2)$, this is exactly $\text{Beta}(1/2, 1)$, the universal incidence law in dimension three.

Nevertheless, T_{sw} is not even locally a spherical gradient. On the hemisphere $x > 0$, use the chart

$$(y, z) \mapsto (\sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2}, y, z)$$

and put $x = \sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2}$. The metric-dual one-form of T_{sw} is

$$\omega_{\text{sw}} = -\frac{z}{x} dy + \frac{y}{x} dz. \quad (6.3)$$

A direct calculation gives

$$d\omega_{\text{sw}} = \frac{1 + x^2}{x^3} dy \wedge dz, \quad (6.4)$$

which is nowhere zero on this hemisphere. Thus ω_{sw} is not locally exact. In particular, G_{sw} cannot be the normalized logarithmic gradient of a differentiable homogeneous function, and it cannot be an arrangement field of the form [Eq. \(2.3\)](#). Indeed, the tangential part of an ambient gradient restricted to the sphere is the intrinsic spherical gradient of the restricted potential, whose metric-dual one-form is locally exact. Here a hypothetical primitive would automatically be smooth because its differential is the smooth form ω_{sw} , contradicting [Eq. \(6.4\)](#).

The plane-conditional common-phase property also fails. Let (U, V) be a Haar oriented two-frame and let $n = U \times V$, so $V = n \times U$. Conditional on the oriented plane, n is fixed and

$$T_{\text{sw}}(U)^\top V = \frac{(e_1 \times U)^\top (n \times U)}{|e_1^\top U|} = \frac{e_1^\top n}{|e_1^\top U|}.$$

Put $c = e_1^\top n$ and $r = \sqrt{1 - c^2}$. Under the conditional uniform phase, $e_1^\top U = r \cos \Phi$, and hence

$$T_{\text{sw}}(U)^\top V = \frac{c}{r |\cos \Phi|}. \quad (6.5)$$

For almost every oriented plane, $0 < |c| < 1$. The conditional variable in [Eq. \(6.5\)](#) has a fixed sign and absolute value at least $|c|/r$, so it is not standard Cauchy. Its unconditional law is nevertheless standard Cauchy by [Theorem 5.1](#) and [Eq. \(6.2\)](#).

There is nonuniqueness even inside the homogeneous-gradient class. If q is differentiable, nonvanishing, and homogeneous of degree $d > 0$, write

$$g_q(x) := \frac{1}{d} \nabla \log |q(x)|$$

for its Euler-normalized logarithmic gradient, and define its radial dual

$$q^\#(x) := \frac{\|x\|^{2d}}{q(x)}. \quad (6.6)$$

Then $q^\#$ is again homogeneous of degree d , and

$$g_{q^\#}(x) = \frac{2x}{\|x\|^2} - g_q(x). \quad (6.7)$$

Thus, on the unit sphere, the radial dual changes the tangent component T to $-T$ and leaves the incidence square unchanged pointwise. A scalar Beta marginal cannot distinguish this pair.

6.3 Conditional rigidity for a fixed finite arrangement

Theorem 6.2 (Fixed-arrangement conditional rigidity). *Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$, let $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\sum_j c_j = 1$, and combine all indices whose vectors determine the same projective direction, omitting any groups whose combined coefficient is zero. Write $b_1, \dots, b_m$ for the remaining combined coefficients and set*

$$T_{a,c}(u) := \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top u} - u.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}\{T_{a,c}(U)^\top V \mid P\} = \text{Cauchy}(0, 1) \quad \text{for Haar-almost every oriented plane } P \quad (6.8)$$

if and only if $b_\ell > 0$ for every ℓ .

Proof. For a generic plane, all active projective directions have nonzero projections and their projected phases $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are distinct modulo π . Indeed, for two nonparallel directions the collision condition is

$$(a^\top U)(b^\top V) - (a^\top V)(b^\top U) = 0.$$

For $p \geq 3$, this is the zero set of a nontrivial real-analytic function on the connected Stiefel manifold and is therefore Haar-null. Nontriviality follows by taking the plane to contain the two directions. For $p = 2$, the same expression equals $\det[a \ b] \det[U \ V]$ and is nowhere zero. A finite union of collision sets remains null.

On each such plane, the common-phase calculation gives

$$-T_{a,c}(U_\phi)^\top V_\phi = \sum_{\ell=1}^m b_\ell \tan(\phi - \alpha_\ell).$$

If Eq. (6.8) holds, Lemma 3.4 and $\sum_\ell b_\ell = 1$ force every combined coefficient to be positive; the leading minus sign is harmless by the symmetry of the Cauchy law. Conversely, positive combined coefficients are simplex weights, so Corollary 3.3 gives the conditional Cauchy law. $\square$

Remark. This is a fixed-arrangement statement: it does not require universality over all possible choices of directions. Individual signed coefficients are not identifiable when their projective directions coincide, because only their combined coefficient enters the field.

6.4 Zonal rigidity and its sign obstruction

The plane-conditional law is stronger than the scalar Beta marginal, but it still cannot recover an orientation because the Cauchy law is symmetric. The next theorem gives a complete answer in a natural infinite-dimensional zonal class.

Theorem 6.3 (Zonal magnitude rigidity). *Let $p \geq 3$, fix $a \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, and let $\psi : (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Borel and finite almost everywhere. Define the measurable tangent field*

$$T_\psi(u) := \psi(a^\top u) \{a - (a^\top u)u\} \quad (6.9)$$

away from $a^\perp$, with an arbitrary definition on that null set. The following are equivalent.

- (i) For Haar-almost every oriented two-plane P ,

$$\mathcal{L}\{T_\psi(U)^\top V \mid P\} = \text{Cauchy}(0, 1).$$

(ii)

$$|\psi(t)| = \frac{1}{|t|} \quad \text{for Lebesgue-almost every } t \in (-1, 1).$$

If T_ψ is also antipodally odd, then necessarily

$$T_\psi(u) = \varepsilon(|a^\top u|) \left\{ \frac{a}{a^\top u} - u \right\}, \quad \varepsilon : (0, 1) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \quad (6.10)$$

for an arbitrary measurable sign function, and every such field satisfies (i). If ψ is continuous on each half-interval, the sign is constant and only the reciprocal field and its radial dual remain.

Proof. For a nonexceptional oriented plane P , choose a positive orthonormal basis so that

$$\text{proj}_P(a) = re_1, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Here $r < 1$ almost surely because $p \geq 3$, and the aligned bases can be chosen measurably on a countable Grassmannian atlas. Conditional uniformity of the phase from [Lemma 4.1](#) is therefore preserved. With

$$U_\theta = e_1 \cos \theta + e_2 \sin \theta, \quad V_\theta = -e_1 \sin \theta + e_2 \cos \theta,$$

where θ is uniform modulo 2π , direct calculation gives

$$Z_r(\theta) := T_\psi(U_\theta)^\top V_\theta = -r \sin \theta \psi(r \cos \theta). \quad (6.11)$$

For a Haar plane, $r^2 \sim \text{Beta}(1, (p-2)/2)$; its density is strictly positive throughout $(0, 1)$. The conditional law in [Eq. \(6.11\)](#) depends only on r , so (i) is equivalent to Z_r being standard Cauchy for Lebesgue-almost every $r \in (0, 1)$.

Fix $0 < s < 1$. Since $\cos \theta$ has the arcsine density, the absolute s -moment at every such r is

$$\mathbb{E}|Z_r|^s = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-r}^r (r^2 - t^2)^{(s-1)/2} |\psi(t)|^s dt. \quad (6.12)$$

For a standard Cauchy variable this moment equals $\sec(\pi s/2)$. The same beta integral shows that replacing $|\psi(t)|^s$ in [Eq. \(6.12\)](#) by $|t|^{-s}$ also gives $\sec(\pi s/2)$.

For $t > 0$, put

$$d_s(t) := \frac{|\psi(t)|^s + |\psi(-t)|^s}{2} - t^{-s}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^r (r^2 - t^2)^{\beta-1} d_s(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } r, \quad \beta := \frac{1+s}{2} \in (1/2, 1). \quad (6.13)$$

The moment identity at any good radius r_0 shows that

$$\int_0^{r_0} \{|\psi(t)|^s + |\psi(-t)|^s\} dt < \infty :$$

indeed, the kernel in [Eq. \(6.12\)](#) is bounded below by r_0^{s-1} on $(0, r_0)$. Since t^{-s} is integrable at zero, d_s is locally integrable. In [Eq. \(6.13\)](#), substitute $x = r^2$, $y = t^2$, and

$$f_s(y) := \frac{d_s(\sqrt{y})}{2\sqrt{y}}.$$

The resulting equation is the vanishing of the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral $I_{0+}^\beta f_s$. Applying $I_{0+}^{1-\beta}$ and using the semigroup identity gives the next display. The interchange is justified first for $|f_s|$ by Tonelli and then for f_s by Fubini; the inner integral is the beta integral. Thus

$$\int_0^x f_s(y) dy = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } x.$$

The left side is absolutely continuous, so it vanishes everywhere and $d_s = 0$ almost everywhere.

Two exponents are needed to identify two unknown magnitudes. Use $s = 1/4$ and $s = 1/2$ on their common full-measure set. If

$$A = t|\psi(t)|, \quad C = t|\psi(-t)|,$$

then $A^{1/4} + C^{1/4} = 2$ and $A^{1/2} + C^{1/2} = 2$. Writing $x = A^{1/4}$ and $y = C^{1/4}$, these relations give $x + y = 2$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 2$, hence the double solution $x = y = 1$. This proves (ii).

Conversely, under (ii), $|Z_r(\theta)| = |\tan \theta|$ almost everywhere. The transformation $\theta \mapsto -\theta$ preserves $\cos \theta$ and reverses the sign in [Eq. \(6.11\)](#); hence Z_r is symmetric and has the half-Cauchy absolute-value law. It is therefore standard Cauchy.

Finally, $T_\psi(-u) = -T_\psi(u)$ is equivalent to $\psi(-t) = -\psi(t)$. Combining this with (ii) gives [Eq. \(6.10\)](#). Continuity makes the $\{-1, 1\}$ -valued function ε constant on the connected interval $(0, 1)$. $\square$

Corollary 6.4 (Hardy orientation selects the positive reciprocal field). *Assume the equivalent conditions in [Theorem 6.3](#) and suppose that T_ψ is antipodally odd. Set, on each oriented plane,*

$$F_P(\phi) := -T_\psi(U_\phi)^\top V_\phi, \quad b_P(e^{2i\phi}) := \frac{1 + iF_P(\phi)}{1 - iF_P(\phi)}.$$

If b_P is the boundary function of a member of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ for Haar-almost every P , then

$$T_\psi(u) = \frac{a}{a^\top u} - u \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

The converse also holds.

Proof. Use the basis in the proof of [Theorem 6.3](#) and put $z = e^{2i\theta}$. Antipodal oddness makes F_P π -periodic, so b_P is a well-defined function of z . The sign representation [Eq. \(6.10\)](#) gives

$$b_P(z) = \begin{cases} z, & \varepsilon(r|\cos \theta|) = 1, \\ z^{-1}, & \varepsilon(r|\cos \theta|) = -1. \end{cases}$$

If the first set has positive circle measure and $B \in H^\infty$ has this boundary function, Privalov uniqueness applied to $B(z) - z$ gives $B(z) = z$; the second set must then be null. If the first set is null, $zB(z)$ has boundary value one almost everywhere, which would force $zB(z) = 1$, an impossibility at zero. Thus for every Hardy-good radius r , $\varepsilon(r|\cos \theta|) = 1$ almost everywhere. The pushforward of uniform θ under $r|\cos \theta|$ has a strictly positive density on $(0, r)$. Taking good radii increasing to one proves $\varepsilon = 1$ almost everywhere. The converse has $b_P(z) = z$, up to a rotation of coordinates. We use the standard boundary uniqueness theorem for Hardy functions [\[Dur70\]](#). $\square$

Remark. The almost-every-plane hypothesis in [Theorem 6.3](#) cannot be replaced by a positive-measure family of planes. For example, the odd function

$$\psi(t) = \frac{2t}{2t^2 - 1}$$

does not have reciprocal magnitude. Put $b = (1 - r^2)/r^2$, $\varphi = 2\theta$, and

$$\gamma = \arctan \sqrt{\frac{1-b}{1+b}}.$$

For every $r \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$, direct trigonometry gives

$$Z_r(\theta) = -\frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos \varphi - b} = -\frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\theta - \gamma - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\theta + \gamma - \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

Hence Z_r is standard Cauchy by [Corollary 3.3](#) and symmetry throughout the interval $[1/\sqrt{2}, 1]$. For $r < 1/\sqrt{2}$ it is bounded and cannot be Cauchy. Thus a positive range of projection radii is insufficient; the small radii present under the $p \geq 3$ Haar-plane law anchor the Abel inversion. In dimension $p = 2$, where only $r = 1$ is seen, this example also shows why the stated higher-dimensional rigidity fails.

6.5 The simplex is the maximal universal real-weight class

Theorem 6.5 (Sharp maximality of positive weights). *Fix $p \geq 2$ and $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k c_j = 1$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $c_j \geq 0$ for every j .
- (ii) For every collection of nonzero vectors $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}^p$, the field

$$g_{a,c}(u) := \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top u}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\|g_{a,c}(U)\|^2} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right). \quad (6.14)$$

- (iii) For every $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{R}/(\pi\mathbb{Z}))$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \tan(\Phi - \alpha_j) \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1). \quad (6.15)$$

Thus, after the Euler normalization $\sum_j c_j = 1$, the probability simplex is exactly the maximal real coefficient class for which either universality holds over every arrangement.

Proof. When $k = 1$, the normalization forces $c_1 = 1$, so all three assertions are immediate. Hence suppose $k \geq 2$. If (i) holds, (ii) is [Theorem 2.1](#), and (iii) is [Eq. \(3.7\)](#).

Suppose that (ii) holds but $c_r < 0$ for some r . Choose the repeated two-direction arrangement

$$a_r = e_1, \quad a_j = e_2 \quad (j \neq r),$$

where e_1, e_2 are the first two coordinate vectors in $\mathbb{R}^p$. Away from its null set of poles,

$$g_{a,c}(U) = \frac{c_r}{U_1} e_1 + \frac{1 - c_r}{U_2} e_2.$$

Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_{a,c}(U)\|^2 &= \frac{c_r^2}{U_1^2} + \frac{(1-c_r)^2}{U_2^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\{|c_r| + |1-c_r|\}^2}{U_1^2 + U_2^2} \geq \{|c_r| + |1-c_r|\}^2.\end{aligned}$$

The final inequality uses $U_1^2 + U_2^2 \leq 1$. Because $c_r < 0$,

$$|c_r| + |1-c_r| = 1 - 2c_r > 1.$$

Hence the variable in [Eq. \(6.14\)](#) is bounded above by $(1 - 2c_r)^{-2} < 1$. This contradicts the strictly positive density of the target Beta law throughout $(0, 1)$. Therefore (ii) implies (i).

Finally, suppose that (iii) holds and that at least one coefficient is negative. Put

$$B := - \sum_{j:c_j < 0} c_j > 0, \quad A := \sum_{j:c_j > 0} c_j = 1 + B.$$

Choose

$$\alpha_j = 0 \quad \text{when } c_j \geq 0, \quad \alpha_j = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{when } c_j < 0.$$

The choice for a zero coefficient is immaterial. With $X = \tan \Phi$, the left side of [Eq. \(6.15\)](#) becomes

$$AX + \frac{B}{X}.$$

For $X > 0$ it is at least $2\sqrt{AB}$, while for $X < 0$ it is at most $-2\sqrt{AB}$. Its distribution therefore assigns no mass to $(-2\sqrt{AB}, 2\sqrt{AB})$, which is impossible for a standard Cauchy law. Thus (iii) also implies (i). $\square$

Remark. Without normalizing the coefficients, let $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d = \sum_j b_j \neq 0$. The corresponding normalized incidence variable is

$$\frac{d^2}{\left\| \sum_j b_j a_j / (a_j^\top U) \right\|^2}.$$

It has the universal Beta law for every arrangement if and only if $b_j/d \geq 0$ for every j . Thus all nonzero coefficients must have the same sign as their total; normalization reduces this unnormalized same-sign class to the simplex in [Theorem 6.5](#).

7 Probability-measure arrangements

The finite theorem concerns atomic probability measures on the space of directions. This section removes atomicity. Empirical approximation extends the Beta law to arbitrary Borel probability measures provided the reciprocal field is absolutely integrable. The same hypothesis also recovers the plane-conditional Cauchy mechanism. For signed measures, the conditional law determines an exact phase-cancellation deficit; positivity follows whenever the phase views norm total variation. This condition is strictly weaker than injectivity, and a cross-sign product-dimension bound is one checkable sufficient criterion.

Theorem 7.1 (Borel probability-measure incidence law). *Let $p \geq 2$, let μ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, and suppose that*

$$I_\mu(U) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{p-1}} \frac{1}{|a^\top U|} \mu(da) < \infty \quad \text{almost surely} \quad (7.1)$$

for $U \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{p-1})$. At every u satisfying the integrability condition in Eq. (7.1), define the absolutely convergent Bochner integral

$$g_\mu(u) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{p-1}} \frac{a}{a^\top u} \mu(da), \quad N_\mu(u) := \frac{g_\mu(u)}{\|g_\mu(u)\|}, \quad H_\mu(u) := \frac{1}{\|g_\mu(u)\|^2}. \quad (7.2)$$

Then $u^\top g_\mu(u) = 1$ wherever the field is defined, and

$$H_\mu(U) = \{U^\top N_\mu(U)\}^2 \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right). \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. Let σ denote Haar probability measure on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, and let $A_1, A_2, \dots$ be independent with common law μ on an auxiliary probability space. Extend the Borel kernel

$$r(a, u) := \frac{a}{a^\top u}$$

by setting $r(a, u) = 0$ when $a^\top u = 0$. If u satisfies Eq. (7.1), then $\mu\{a : a^\top u = 0\} = 0$, and

$$\mathbb{E}\|r(A_1, u)\| = I_\mu(u) < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E}r(A_1, u) = g_\mu(u).$$

Define the set of full Haar measure

$$D_\mu := \{u : I_\mu(u) < \infty\}.$$

For every $u \in D_\mu$, the corresponding pole set has μ -measure zero. Hence

$$u^\top g_\mu(u) = \int_{\{a: a^\top u \neq 0\}} \frac{u^\top a}{a^\top u} \mu(da) = 1. \quad (7.4)$$

The set D_μ is Borel: the extended nonnegative kernel $(a, u) \mapsto |a^\top u|^{-1}$, taking value $+\infty$ when $a^\top u = 0$, is jointly Borel, and its parameter integral is Borel. The same observation component by component shows that g_μ is Borel on D_μ . Extend it by zero on D_μ^c for the measurability argument below. The vector-valued strong law of large numbers therefore gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n r(A_j, u) \longrightarrow g_\mu(u) \quad \text{almost surely} \quad (7.5)$$

for every such fixed u .

The set on which the convergence in Eq. (7.5) holds is jointly measurable in the sampled sequence and in u . Indeed, coordinatewise it is a countable intersection over $m \geq 1$, followed by a countable union over N , of the intersections over $n \geq N$ of the Borel inequalities

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n r_\ell(A_j, u) - g_{\mu, \ell}(u) \right| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

The hypothesis and Fubini's theorem consequently show that, for $\mu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ -almost every realization $(a_j)_{j \geq 1}$, the convergence holds for σ -almost every u . Fix one such deterministic realization. The countable union

$$\bigcup_{j \geq 1} \{u : a_j^\top u = 0\}$$

is also σ -null. Hence, for σ -almost every u ,

$$g_n(u) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top u} \longrightarrow g_\mu(u). \quad (7.6)$$

For every n , [Theorem 2.1](#), applied to the finite arrangement $a_1, \dots, a_n$ with equal weights, gives

$$H_n(U) := \frac{1}{\|g_n(U)\|^2} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right). \quad (7.7)$$

Moreover, [Eq. \(7.4\)](#) gives $u^\top g_\mu(u) = 1$, so $g_\mu(u) \neq 0$, and

$$H_n(U) \longrightarrow H_\mu(U) \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Taking expectations of bounded continuous functions and using [Eq. \(7.7\)](#) proves [Eq. \(7.3\)](#). Finally, $U^\top N_\mu(U) = 1/\|g_\mu(U)\|$, which gives the incidence form. $\square$

The condition in [Eq. \(7.1\)](#) is scale-free in the directions a . Thus an equivalent statement may be formulated for a probability measure on real projective space by choosing arbitrary unit representatives.

7.1 Absolute integrability forces singular phase projections

The empirical proof gives more than a closure theorem: its integrability hypothesis forces the one-dimensional phase measures seen from almost every two-plane to be singular. The common-phase argument then extends through a Herglotz integral.

Proposition 7.2 (Conditional Cauchy law for measure arrangements). *Under the assumptions of [Theorem 7.1](#), let (U, V) be a Haar oriented orthonormal two-frame and let $P = \text{span}\{U, V\}$ with its induced orientation. For Haar-almost every oriented plane P , the angular pushforward modulo π of μ under orthogonal projection onto P is a singular probability measure, and*

$$\mathcal{L}\{g_\mu(U)^\top V \mid P\} = \text{Cauchy}(0, 1). \quad (7.8)$$

Consequently, [Theorem 7.1](#) also has a direct conditional common-phase proof.

Proof. Fix an oriented orthonormal basis (e_1, e_2) of P and write

$$U_\phi = e_1 \cos \phi + e_2 \sin \phi, \quad V_\phi = -e_1 \sin \phi + e_2 \cos \phi. \quad (7.9)$$

For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, the event that $a \perp P$ has Grassmannian probability zero. Fubini's theorem therefore gives

$$\mu(P^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{p-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } P. \quad (7.10)$$

For such a plane, write

$$\text{proj}_P(a) = r_P(a) \{e_1 \cos \tilde{\alpha}_P(a) + e_2 \sin \tilde{\alpha}_P(a)\}, \quad 0 < r_P(a) \leq 1, \quad (7.11)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_P(a)$ is taken modulo 2π . Let $\alpha_P(a)$ be its class modulo π , define α_P arbitrarily on the μ -null set $P^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, and let

$$\nu_P = (\alpha_P)_\# \mu, \quad \eta_P(B) := \int \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\alpha_P(a) \in B\}}{r_P(a)} \mu(\mathrm{d}a). \quad (7.12)$$

Thus ν_P is a Borel probability measure. The weighted phase measure η_P is the exact object governing absolute reciprocal integrability.

The Haar disintegration in [Lemma 4.1](#) and the hypothesis [Eq. \(7.1\)](#) imply that, for almost every P , $I_\mu(U_\phi) < \infty$ for almost every ϕ . For those (P, ϕ) ,

$$I_\mu(U_\phi) = \int \frac{1}{r_P(a) |\cos\{\phi - \alpha_P(a)\}|} \mu(\mathrm{d}a) = J_{\eta_P}(\phi). \quad (7.13)$$

The identity in [Eq. \(7.13\)](#) is purely algebraic and holds whenever the two extended integrals are defined; the hypothesis of [Theorem 7.1](#) is used here only to obtain almost-everywhere finiteness. Because J_{η_P} is finite at almost every phase, η_P is finite and [Lemma 3.1](#) makes it singular. The measures η_P and ν_P have the same null sets: for every Borel B , the integrand defining $\eta_P(B)$ is finite and strictly positive on $\alpha_P^{-1}(B)$, so either measure vanishes on B exactly when the other does. Hence ν_P is singular as well. Moreover, $J_{\nu_P} \leq J_{\eta_P} < \infty$ almost everywhere, so [Theorem 3.2](#) applies to the probability measure ν_P .

Absolute convergence and [Eqs. \(7.9\)](#) and [\(7.11\)](#) give

$$\begin{aligned} g_\mu(U_\phi)^\top V_\phi &= \int \frac{a^\top V_\phi}{a^\top U_\phi} \mu(\mathrm{d}a) \\ &= - \int \tan(\phi - \alpha) \nu_P(\mathrm{d}\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

The right side without its leading minus sign is standard Cauchy by [Theorem 3.2](#); symmetry proves [Eq. \(7.8\)](#).

Finally, $T_\mu(U) := g_\mu(U) - U$ is tangent and $T_\mu(U)^\top V = g_\mu(U)^\top V$. Applying [Theorem 5.1](#) gives a second proof of [Theorem 7.1](#). $\square$

7.2 A local-to-global signed-measure converse

For a finite real signed measure η on $\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}$, the projective phase pushforward is defined for Haar-almost every oriented two-plane by

$$\nu_P := (\alpha_P)_\# \eta. \quad (7.15)$$

Indeed, for every fixed projective direction, the planes onto which it projects to zero form a Haar-null set, so Fubini's theorem applies to $|\eta|$. Define the essential phase norm and its cancellation deficit by

$$\|\eta\|_{\text{ph}} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{P \in \operatorname{Gr}^+(2,p)} \|\nu_P\|_{\text{TV}}, \quad \Delta_{\text{ph}}(\eta) := \|\eta\|_{\text{TV}} - \|\eta\|_{\text{ph}} \geq 0. \quad (7.16)$$

The inequality is contraction of total variation under pushforward. We use any positive orthonormal basis of P ; changing it only rotates the phase circle and leaves the norm unchanged. We call η *phase norming* when $\|\eta\|_{\text{ph}} = \|\eta\|_{\text{TV}}$. If $\eta = \eta^+ - \eta^-$ is the Jordan decomposition, a fixed phase view preserves total variation exactly when $(\alpha_P)_\# \eta^+$ and $(\alpha_P)_\# \eta^-$ are mutually singular. Thus phase norming asks only for arbitrarily small essential phase cancellation. It neither requires an injective phase map nor forbids collisions between points carrying the same sign.

Remark (A single view can hide signs). Let $p \geq 3$, $P_0 = \text{span}(e_1, e_2)$, $a_{\pm} = (e_1 \pm e_3)/\sqrt{2}$, and, for $c > 0$,

$$\eta = (1 + c)\delta_{[a_+]} - c\delta_{[a_-]}.$$

This is a mass-one signed measure with total variation $1 + 2c$, but the two directions have the same P_0 -phase, so $\nu_{P_0} = \delta_0$. That view is positive and its tangent boundary law is standard Cauchy. For Haar-almost every plane, however, the two phases are distinct and the pushforward retains total variation $1 + 2c$. Thus one favorable view can be misleading; the almost-every-plane law and the essential phase norm capture different information from any selected projection.

Theorem 7.3 (Phase-norming local-to-global rigidity). *Let $p \geq 2$, let η be a finite real signed measure of mass one on $\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}$, and suppose that*

$$I_{|\eta|}(U) := \int_{\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}} \frac{1}{|a^\top U|} |\eta|(\mathrm{d}[a]) < \infty \quad \text{almost surely,} \quad (7.17)$$

where either unit representative of $[a]$ may be used. Define

$$g_\eta(u) := \int \frac{a}{a^\top u} \eta(\mathrm{d}[a]), \quad T_\eta(u) := g_\eta(u) - u. \quad (7.18)$$

If

$$\mathcal{L}\{T_\eta(U)^\top V \mid P\} = \text{Cauchy}(0, 1) \quad \text{for Haar-almost every oriented plane } P, \quad (7.19)$$

then ν_P is a positive probability measure for Haar-almost every P . Equivalently, if $\eta = \eta^+ - \eta^-$, then

$$(\alpha_P)_\# \eta^- \leq (\alpha_P)_\# \eta^+ \quad \text{for Haar-almost every } P. \quad (7.20)$$

Moreover,

$$\|\eta\|_{\text{ph}} = 1, \quad \Delta_{\text{ph}}(\eta) = 2\eta^-(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}). \quad (7.21)$$

Consequently, within the phase-norming class, the following are equivalent:

- (i) η is a Borel probability measure;
- (ii) the conditional Cauchy law [Eq. \(7.19\)](#) holds.

For $p = 2$, every signed measure is phase norming, so no additional phase hypothesis is needed.

Proof. The integrand in [Eq. \(7.18\)](#) does not depend on the choice of representative, and [Eq. \(7.17\)](#) makes the field absolutely defined almost surely. Haar-frame disintegration shows that, for almost every oriented plane P , $I_{|\eta|}(U_\phi) < \infty$ for almost every phase ϕ . For such a plane, let

$$\lambda_P := (\alpha_P)_\# |\eta|, \quad \xi_P(B) := \int \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\alpha_P(a) \in B\}}{r_P(a)} |\eta|(\mathrm{d}[a]),$$

using the projected radius in [Eq. \(7.11\)](#). Exactly as in [Eq. \(7.13\)](#),

$$J_{\xi_P}(\phi) = I_{|\eta|}(U_\phi) < \infty \quad \text{for almost every } \phi.$$

Because the secant kernel is at least one, finiteness at any such phase implies that ξ_P is finite; it is then singular by [Lemma 3.1](#). Since $\lambda_P \leq \xi_P$ and $|\nu_P| \leq \lambda_P$, the signed phase measure ν_P is singular as well, and $J_{|\nu_P|} \leq J_{\xi_P} < \infty$ almost everywhere.

Absolute integration gives

$$-T_\eta(U_\phi)^\top V_\phi = \int_{\mathbb{T}_\pi} \tan(\phi - \alpha) \nu_P(d\alpha). \quad (7.22)$$

Indeed, the absolute value of the original ratio is bounded by $|a^\top U_\phi|^{-1}$. Thus [Lemma 3.6](#) identifies [Eq. \(7.22\)](#) with the Herglotz boundary value. Under [Eq. \(7.19\)](#), Cauchy symmetry and [Theorem 3.5](#) show that ν_P is positive for almost every P . Its mass is one, so $\|\nu_P\|_{\text{TV}} = 1$, which proves $\|\eta\|_{\text{ph}} = 1$. Since a mass-one signed measure satisfies

$$\|\eta\|_{\text{TV}} = 1 + 2\eta^-(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}),$$

[Eq. \(7.21\)](#) follows.

If η is phase norming, the conditional law therefore gives $\|\eta\|_{\text{TV}} = 1 = \eta(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1})$, and the Jordan decomposition forces η^- to vanish. Conversely, if η is a probability measure, it is automatically phase norming. After choosing a measurable spherical lift, [Proposition 7.2](#) proves the conditional law.

When $p = 2$, the only two-plane is the ambient plane and its projective phase map is a projective isomorphism. Its pushforward preserves total variation for every signed measure. $\square$

The phase-norming condition is strictly weaker than injectivity of a phase view. The next result gives a geometric sufficient criterion involving only opposite signs.

Lemma 7.4 (Generic cross-sign separation below the collision threshold). *Let $p \geq 3$, and let $K_+, K_- \subset \mathbb{RP}^{p-1}$ be disjoint nonempty compact sets satisfying*

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(K_+ \times K_-) < 1. \quad (7.23)$$

For Haar-almost every oriented two-plane P , no point of $K_+ \cup K_-$ projects to zero and

$$\alpha_P(K_+) \cap \alpha_P(K_-) = \emptyset. \quad (7.24)$$

Proof. Let $G = \text{Gr}^+(2, p)$, of dimension $n = 2(p - 2)$. Since both factors are nonempty, their embeddings into the product show that $\dim_{\text{H}} K_+ < 1$ and $\dim_{\text{H}} K_- < 1$. For either carrier, consider the incidence pairs $([a], P)$ with $P \subset a^\perp$. The fiber over $[a]$ is $\text{Gr}^+(2, p - 1)$, of dimension $2(p - 3)$. Local smooth trivializations therefore bound the dimension of the corresponding exceptional plane set by

$$\dim_{\text{H}} K_\pm + 2(p - 3) < 2(p - 2) = n.$$

Thus almost every plane has nonzero projection for every point of both carriers.

The compact sets are disjoint, so their projective distance is positive. For a fixed pair $([a], [b]) \in K_+ \times K_-$, equality of projected phases is equivalent to the existence of a projective line $[x] \subset \text{span}(a, b)$ for which $P \subset x^\perp$. The corresponding flag incidence

$$\{([a], [b], [x], P) : [x] \subset \text{span}(a, b), P \subset x^\perp\}$$

is locally a smooth bundle over the separated pair space with fiber dimension $1 + 2(p - 3) = n - 1$. Restricting the base to $K_+ \times K_-$ therefore gives Hausdorff dimension at most

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(K_+ \times K_-) + n - 1 < n.$$

Its projection to G is Haar-null, proving [Eq. \(7.24\)](#). $\square$

Corollary 7.5 (Cross-sign carrier criterion). *Let η satisfy the mass-one and reciprocal-integrability assumptions of [Theorem 7.3](#), and write $\eta = \eta^+ - \eta^-$. Suppose the two Jordan parts are carried by disjoint σ -compact sets $E_+, E_- \subset \mathbb{RP}^{p-1}$ such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E_+ \times E_-) < 1. \quad (7.25)$$

Then η is phase norming, and hence the conditional Cauchy law holds if and only if η is a probability measure.

It is sufficient for [Eq. \(7.25\)](#) that either

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_+ + \dim_{\mathbb{P}} E_- < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{P}} E_+ + \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_- < 1.$$

In particular, the conclusion recovers the former full-support criterion $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(K \times K) < 1$, and hence the sufficient condition $\dim_{\mathbb{P}} K < 1/2$, when $|\eta|$ is carried by one compact set K .

Proof. The assertion is immediate if one Jordan part vanishes. If $p = 2$, phase norming is already part of [Theorem 7.3](#), so suppose $p \geq 3$. Borel regularity supplies such disjoint σ -compact carriers inside the Hahn sets, in particular when both parts are carried by one compact K . Exhaust each σ -compact carrier by an increasing sequence of compact sets. Apply [Lemma 7.4](#) to every pair in the two exhaustions and discard the resulting countable union of exceptional plane sets. For every remaining plane, the two phase pushforwards are carried by disjoint Borel sets, each a countable union of compact images. They are therefore mutually singular, so phase pushforward preserves the total variation of η . This proves phase norming and allows [Theorem 7.3](#) to be applied.

The two displayed sufficient conditions follow from Tricot's product inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} E + \dim_{\mathbb{P}} F$$

and its version with the factors reversed [[Tri82](#), [Fal14](#)]. □

The cross-sign condition can be genuinely weaker than the former full-support condition. For example, take disjoint self-similar Cantor carriers of dimensions $s, t \in (0, 1)$ with $s + t < 1 \leq 2 \max\{s, t\}$. Their cross product is subcritical, while the Cartesian square of their union is not.

Even without phase norming, the conditional law already excludes atomic negative mass.

Corollary 7.6 (No negative atoms). *Under [Eqs. \(7.17\)](#) and [\(7.19\)](#), the negative Jordan part η^- is atomless. Consequently, the full positivity conclusion holds whenever η^- is purely atomic.*

Proof. If η^- had an atom of mass $c > 0$ at $[a_0]$, then $\eta\{[a_0]\} = -c$. For every fixed $[b] \neq [a_0]$, the projected phases of $[a_0]$ and $[b]$ collide only for a Haar-null set of planes. Fubini's theorem applied to $|\eta|$ off $[a_0]$ shows that, for almost every plane, the phase fiber through $\alpha_P(a_0)$ contains no other $|\eta|$ -mass. Thus ν_P has an atom of mass $-c$, contradicting the almost-every-plane positivity furnished by [Theorem 7.3](#). □

Remark. The exact unresolved issue is now diffuse phase cancellation, not geometric injectivity. Under the conditional Cauchy law, [Eqs. \(7.20\)](#) and [\(7.21\)](#) say that the negative pushforward is dominated in almost every phase view and that the phase deficit records twice the hidden negative mass. It remains open whether the reciprocal integrability and compatibility across all planes force this deficit to vanish. The cross-sign criterion proves that they do whenever opposite Jordan carriers fall below the collision threshold, even if either carrier has extensive same-sign collisions. Hausdorff dimension alone does not control Cartesian products; see Besicovitch–Moran [[BM45](#)].

8 Integrability boundaries for infinite arrangements

Absolute reciprocal integrability is the precise gate in [Theorem 7.1](#): without it, the vector field need not exist as an ordinary integral. This section analyzes that gate rather than introducing a different phenomenon. It first gives an exact pointwise criterion in terms of mass accumulating near orthogonal hyperplanes, then translates the criterion into sharp weight, clustering, and support conditions.

8.1 An exact orthogonality-profile criterion

For $u \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ and $0 < t \leq 1$, define the mass of the orthogonal belt of width t by

$$b_\mu(u, t) := \mu\{a : |a^\top u| \leq t\}. \quad (8.1)$$

Theorem 8.1 (Wiener–Dini incidence criterion). *For every finite Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, write $M_\mu = \mu(\mathbb{S}^{p-1})$. Then, for every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, with extended values allowed,*

$$I_\mu(u) = M_\mu + \int_0^1 \frac{b_\mu(u, t)}{t^2} dt. \quad (8.2)$$

If

$$W_\mu(u) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n b_\mu(u, 2^{-n}), \quad (8.3)$$

then

$$\frac{W_\mu(u) + M_\mu}{2} \leq I_\mu(u) \leq W_\mu(u) + M_\mu. \quad (8.4)$$

In particular, [Eq. \(7.1\)](#) holds if and only if the series in [Eq. \(8.3\)](#) is finite for Haar-almost every u .

Proof. For $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{x} = 1 + \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}\{x \leq t\} \frac{dt}{t^2},$$

with both sides interpreted as infinity when $x = 0$. Apply this identity to $x = |a^\top u|$, integrate in a , and use Tonelli's theorem to obtain [Eq. \(8.2\)](#). Monotonicity of $t \mapsto b_\mu(u, t)$ gives, on $(2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n}]$,

$$2^n b_\mu(u, 2^{-(n+1)}) \leq \int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} \frac{b_\mu(u, t)}{t^2} dt \leq 2^n b_\mu(u, 2^{-n}).$$

Sum over $n \geq 0$ and use $b_\mu(u, 1) = M_\mu$. □

Corollary 8.2 (Pointwise projection tests). *At a fixed u , finiteness of $I_\mu(u)$ forces*

$$b_\mu(u, t) = o(t) \quad (t \downarrow 0).$$

It is sufficient that, for some $C(u) < \infty$ and $\epsilon(u) > 0$,

$$b_\mu(u, t) \leq C(u) t^{1+\epsilon(u)}$$

for all sufficiently small t . Equivalently, [Eq. \(8.2\)](#) is the Dini condition at zero for the one-dimensional projection $(a \mapsto a^\top u)_\# \mu$.

Proof. The summands in [Eq. \(8.3\)](#) must tend to zero. Dyadic monotonicity extends this to $b_\mu(u, t)/t \rightarrow 0$. The power bound makes the series geometrically summable. $\square$

Corollary 8.3 (Exact plane-wise criterion). *For the weighted phase measure η_P in the proof of [Proposition 7.2](#), one has, for almost every oriented plane P ,*

$$I_\mu(U_\phi) = J_{\eta_P}(\phi).$$

Moreover, [Eq. \(7.1\)](#) holds if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \eta_P\{\alpha : |\cos(\phi - \alpha)| \leq 2^{-n}\} < \infty \quad (8.5)$$

for almost every pair (P, ϕ) .

Proof. For a fixed unit vector a , if $r_P(a) = \|\text{proj}_P(a)\|$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_P \frac{1}{r_P(a)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(p/2)}{\Gamma((p-1)/2)} < \infty$$

for $p > 2$, while $r_P(a) = 1$ for $p = 2$. Tonelli's theorem therefore makes η_P finite for almost every P . The first identity is [Eq. \(7.13\)](#). Apply the dyadic proof of [Theorem 8.1](#) to the finite measure η_P , and then use Haar-frame disintegration. $\square$

Remark (Why a first-moment test cannot classify admissibility). Spherical invariance gives, for every μ ,

$$\int b_\mu(u, t) \sigma(du) = \mathbb{P}\{|U_1| \leq t\} \sim c_p t \quad (t \downarrow 0).$$

Consequently $\int W_\mu d\sigma = \infty$ for every μ , even for a point mass, although a point mass has $I_\mu(U) < \infty$ almost surely. Thus any intrinsic classification beyond [Theorem 8.1](#) must retain spatial concentration; Fubini at the first-moment endpoint necessarily loses it.

8.2 The sharp entropy threshold

For discrete arrangements, universal absolute convergence admits an exact characterization involving only the weights. For context on almost-sure convergence and tail asymptotics of infinite randomly weighted sums with regularly varying summands, compare [\[HM12\]](#). The point here is the exact entropy criterion with a universal quantifier over every deterministic spherical arrangement.

Theorem 8.4 (Universal convergence if and only if entropy is finite). *Let $p \geq 2$, let $w_j > 0$ with $\sum_{j \geq 1} w_j = 1$, and let $U \sim \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{p-1})$. The following are equivalent.*

(i) *The Shannon entropy of the weights is finite:*

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} w_j \log \frac{e}{w_j} < \infty. \quad (8.6)$$

(ii) *For every deterministic sequence $(a_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$,*

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{w_j}{|a_j^\top U|} < \infty \quad \text{almost surely.} \quad (8.7)$$

If [Eq. \(8.6\)](#) fails and the directions A_j are independent Haar points on $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, then for almost every realized sequence $(A_j)_{j \geq 1}$, the series in [Eq. \(8.7\)](#) diverges for Haar-almost every U . Thus the entropy condition is sharp even among generic arrangements.

Proof. Zero weights, if present in an alternative indexing, may simply be discarded. Let $S = |U_1|$. Its density on $(0, 1)$ is

$$f_p(s) = \frac{2\Gamma(p/2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma((p-1)/2)} (1 - s^2)^{(p-3)/2}. \quad (8.8)$$

For $0 < w \leq 1$, put

$$m_p(w) := \mathbb{E} \min \left\{ \frac{w}{S}, 1 \right\}.$$

Splitting the integral at w gives

$$m_p(w) = \int_0^w f_p(s) \, ds + w \int_w^1 \frac{f_p(s)}{s} \, ds. \quad (8.9)$$

At $w = 1$, this formula reads $m_p(1) = 1$. When $p = 2$, the density has an integrable endpoint singularity at one, so the second integral is still well defined for every $0 < w < 1$. The density in [Eq. \(8.8\)](#) is bounded above and away from zero on every sufficiently short interval starting at zero, while its remaining mass is finite. Consequently there exist constants $0 < c_p \leq C_p < \infty$ such that

$$c_p w \log \frac{e}{w} \leq m_p(w) \leq C_p w \log \frac{e}{w}, \quad 0 < w \leq 1. \quad (8.10)$$

Suppose first that [Eq. \(8.6\)](#) holds and fix any deterministic sequence (a_j) . By spherical invariance, $|a_j^\top U| \stackrel{d}{=} S$ for every j . Tonelli's theorem and [Eq. \(8.10\)](#) give

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{j \geq 1} \min \left\{ \frac{w_j}{|a_j^\top U|}, 1 \right\} = \sum_{j \geq 1} m_p(w_j) < \infty.$$

The sum of the truncated terms is therefore finite almost surely. Only finitely many untruncated terms can exceed one, and the countable collection of poles is Haar-null, proving [Eq. \(8.7\)](#).

Conversely, suppose [Eq. \(8.6\)](#) fails and let $A_1, A_2, \dots$ be independent Haar directions. Conditional on any fixed u , the random variables

$$Y_j := \frac{w_j}{|A_j^\top u|}$$

are independent and satisfy, by [Eq. \(8.10\)](#),

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \mathbb{E} \min\{Y_j, 1\} = \infty.$$

For $y \geq 0$,

$$(1 - e^{-1}) \min\{y, 1\} \leq 1 - e^{-y} \leq \min\{y, 1\}. \quad (8.11)$$

Taking expectations in [Eq. \(8.11\)](#) gives

$$1 - \mathbb{E} e^{-Y_j} \geq (1 - e^{-1}) \mathbb{E} \min\{Y_j, 1\}.$$

Thus $\sum_j (1 - \mathbb{E} e^{-Y_j}) = \infty$. Independence and $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ now give

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j \right\} = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} e^{-Y_j} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Dominated convergence then gives $\mathbb{E} \exp\{-\sum_{j \geq 1} Y_j\} = 0$, which forces $\sum_j Y_j = \infty$ almost surely for each fixed u . Joint measurability and Fubini's theorem show that this divergence holds for Haar-almost every u for almost every sampled sequence (A_j) . Fixing one such sequence contradicts (ii), and also proves the final assertion. $\square$

Corollary 8.5 (Countable incidence law). *Under Eq. (8.6), every deterministic sequence $(a_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ defines, for Haar-almost every u , the absolutely convergent field*

$$g_{a,w}(u) := \sum_{j \geq 1} w_j \frac{a_j}{a_j^\top u}. \quad (8.12)$$

It satisfies $u^\top g_{a,w}(u) = 1$, and

$$\frac{1}{\|g_{a,w}(U)\|^2} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right). \quad (8.13)$$

Proof. Apply Theorems 7.1 and 8.4 to the probability measure $\mu = \sum_{j \geq 1} w_j \delta_{a_j}$. $\square$

8.3 Entropy–geometry gluing

Theorem 8.6 (Clustered integrability criterion). *Suppose*

$$\mu = w_0 \mu_0 + \sum_{j \geq 1} w_j \mu_j, \quad w_j \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} w_j = 1,$$

where each μ_j is supported on a compact set K_j whose orthogonality shadow is Haar-null. Assume that every μ_j is a Borel probability measure. For $j \geq 1$, suppose further that

$$K_j \subset B(a_j, \rho_j), \quad 0 < \rho_j \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

where the balls use chordal distance, and that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \rho_j < \infty, \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} w_j \log \frac{e}{\rho_j} < \infty. \quad (8.14)$$

Then $I_\mu(U) < \infty$ almost surely, and consequently μ satisfies the incidence law in Theorem 7.1.

Proof. The restriction $\rho_j \leq 1/4$ keeps both the belt estimate and the truncated logarithmic moment below in their uniform small-radius range. Let

$$E_j := \{|a_j^\top U| \leq 2\rho_j\}.$$

The spherical coordinate density gives $\mathbb{P}(E_j) \leq C_p \rho_j$. The first condition in Eq. (8.14) and the first Borel–Cantelli lemma show that almost surely only finitely many E_j occur. On E_j^c , every $a \in K_j$ satisfies

$$|a^\top U| \geq |a_j^\top U| - \rho_j \geq \frac{1}{2} |a_j^\top U|,$$

and hence

$$I_{\mu_j}(U) \mathbf{1}_{E_j^c} \leq \frac{2}{|a_j^\top U|} \mathbf{1}_{E_j^c}.$$

If $S = |U_1|$, then uniformly for $0 < \rho \leq 1/4$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{S} \mathbf{1}_{\{S > 2\rho\}} \right\} \leq C_p \log \frac{e}{\rho}.$$

Tonelli's theorem and the second condition in [Eq. \(8.14\)](#) therefore make the sum of all far-cluster contributions finite almost surely.

Outside the countable union of the null shadows $\mathcal{O}(K_j)$, every individual $I_{\mu_j}(U)$ is finite. The $w_0\mu_0$ term and the finitely many near-cluster terms are therefore finite as well. This proves $I_\mu(U) < \infty$. $\square$

Remark. The criterion combines two endpoints. Taking $\mu_j = \delta_{a_j}$ and $\rho_j = w_j/4$ recovers the sufficient half of the Shannon-entropy theorem. Taking only the $w_0\mu_0$ term recovers a compact null-shadow measure. More importantly, the μ_j 's may themselves contain arbitrarily complicated countable or nonatomic arrangements: entropy is charged only at the scale of separated clusters.

8.4 Compact supports and logarithmic potentials

For a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, define its spherical orthogonality shadow by

$$\mathcal{O}(K) := \{u \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1} : a^\top u = 0 \text{ for some } a \in K\}. \quad (8.15)$$

Corollary 8.7 (Null orthogonality shadow). *Let μ be a Borel probability measure supported on a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$. If $\mathcal{O}(K)$ is Haar-null, then the conclusion of [Theorem 7.1](#) holds.*

Moreover, on the open cone

$$\Omega_K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : \min_{a \in K} |a^\top x| > 0\}, \quad (8.16)$$

the continuous master function

$$\Phi_\mu(x) := \exp \left\{ \int_K \log |a^\top x| \mu(da) \right\} \quad (8.17)$$

is smooth and positively homogeneous of degree one, and

$$\nabla \log \Phi_\mu(x) = g_\mu(x). \quad (8.18)$$

Consequently, for Haar-almost every u , $H_\mu(u)$ is both the squared incidence cosine and the squared distance from the origin to the tangent hyperplane of the level hypersurface of Φ_μ through u .

Proof. For $u \notin \mathcal{O}(K)$, compactness gives

$$\delta_K(u) := \min_{a \in K} |a^\top u| > 0.$$

It follows that $I_\mu(u) \leq \delta_K(u)^{-1} < \infty$, so [Theorem 7.1](#) applies.

For $x_0 \in \Omega_K$, the same compactness argument gives a neighborhood of x_0 on which all denominators are uniformly bounded away from zero. Differentiation under the integral in [Eq. \(8.17\)](#) is therefore valid to every order and gives [Eq. \(8.18\)](#). Since μ is a probability measure,

$$\Phi_\mu(tx) = t\Phi_\mu(x), \quad t > 0.$$

Euler's identity gives $x^\top g_\mu(x) = 1$. Thus the tangent hyperplane at u is

$$\{x : g_\mu(u)^\top x = 1\},$$

whose squared distance from the origin is $1/\|g_\mu(u)\|^2$. $\square$

Corollary 8.8 (Zero-length supports at the critical endpoint). *Under the notation of [Corollary 8.7](#), if*

$$\mathcal{H}^1(K) = 0, \quad (8.19)$$

then $\mathcal{O}(K)$ is Haar-null and all conclusions of [Corollary 8.7](#) hold.

Proof. For every $\epsilon > 0$, cover K by chordal balls $B(a_i, r_i)$ with arbitrarily small radii and $\sum_i r_i < \epsilon$. If $u \in \mathcal{O}(K)$, choose $a \in K$ with $a^\top u = 0$ and then an i with $\|a - a_i\| < r_i$. It follows that

$$|a_i^\top u| \leq \|a_i - a\| < r_i.$$

The Haar measure of a spherical belt $\{u : |a_i^\top u| < r_i\}$ is at most $C_p r_i$, uniformly for small r_i . Therefore

$$\sigma\{\mathcal{O}(K)\} \leq C_p \sum_i r_i < C_p \epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ proves the claim. $\square$

Theorem 8.9 (Rectifiable critical obstruction). *Let $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ be a nonconstant regular C^1 immersion of an interval. Suppose that μ has a nonzero component along this arc: for some $h \geq 0$, positive on a set of positive Lebesgue measure,*

$$\mu \geq \gamma_\# \{h(s) \, ds\}.$$

Then

$$\sigma\{u : I_\mu(u) = \infty\} > 0. \quad (8.20)$$

Proof. Let E be the positive-measure set of Lebesgue points s at which $h(s) > 0$. Localizing if necessary, choose a compact subinterval on which E still has positive measure and γ is Lipschitz. Consider the incidence manifold

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(s, u) \in J \times \mathbb{S}^{p-1} : \gamma(s)^\top u = 0\}.$$

The coarea formula in local incidence charts shows that the part of $\mathcal{M}$ above E has positive $(p-1)$ -dimensional measure. For each s , the exceptional fiber on which $\gamma'(s)^\top u = 0$ has codimension one inside $\{u : \gamma(s)^\top u = 0\}$. Thus the part of $\mathcal{M}$ above E where $\gamma'(s)^\top u \neq 0$ has positive $(p-1)$ -dimensional measure. At precisely those transverse pairs, the projection $(s, u) \mapsto u$ has full rank. The area formula [[Mat95](#)], applied on the compact localized chart where the projection is Lipschitz, therefore shows that its image has positive spherical Haar measure. Injectivity of γ is not needed: the area formula counts multiplicity.

For every u in that image, choose a transverse $s \in E$. Locally,

$$|\gamma(t)^\top u| \leq C|t - s|.$$

Since s is a positive Lebesgue point of h , the integral $\int_{|t-s|<\delta} h(t)|t-s|^{-1} dt$ diverges; for example, each sufficiently small dyadic annulus contributes an amount bounded away from zero. Consequently

$$I_\mu(u) \geq \int_{|t-s|<\delta} \frac{h(t)}{|\gamma(t)^\top u|} dt = \infty,$$

which proves [Eq. \(8.20\)](#). $\square$

Remark (Both behaviors occur in dimension one). Since $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} K < 1$ implies $\mathcal{H}^1(K) = 0$, [Corollary 8.8](#) strictly strengthens the earlier subcritical dimension criterion. It also reaches dimension one: a Moran Cantor set in an arc with 2^n stage- n intervals of length $2^{-n}/n$ has Hausdorff dimension one and zero $\mathcal{H}^1$ -measure, so every probability measure on it is admissible. In the opposite direction, [Theorem 8.9](#) rules out every nonzero absolutely continuous component on a regular arc.

For the most symmetric negative example, let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a two-dimensional subspace and let

$$K = L \cap \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Then $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} K = 1$, but $\mathcal{O}(K) = \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$: every vector has a direction in L orthogonal to its projection onto L .

If μ is normalized arc measure on K , then $I_\mu(u) = \infty$ for every u . Indeed, when $b = \text{proj}_L u \neq 0$, a rotation within L gives

$$I_\mu(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi\|b\|} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|\cos \theta|} = \infty,$$

while $b = 0$ makes every denominator vanish. Thus ordinary absolute integration cannot be replaced silently by principal values.

Although the shadow-free cone Ω_K is empty in this example, the logarithmic integral remains finite on the larger set $\{x : \text{proj}_L x \neq 0\}$ and satisfies

$$\Phi_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|\text{proj}_L x\|$$

when $\text{proj}_L x \neq 0$. Its natural symmetric principal-value logarithmic gradient is

$$g_\mu^{\text{pv}}(x) = \frac{\text{proj}_L x}{\|\text{proj}_L x\|^2}.$$

It would give

$$\frac{1}{\|g_\mu^{\text{pv}}(U)\|^2} = \|\text{proj}_L U\|^2,$$

which has law $\text{Beta}(1, (p-2)/2)$ for $p > 2$, and is identically one for $p = 2$. Neither is the law in [Eq. \(7.3\)](#). Absolute reciprocal integrability is therefore structural, not merely a convenient way of choosing a version of the field.

Remark (Singularity and full support do not decide convergence). Choose a dense sequence of centers a_j , radii $\rho_j = 2^{-2j}$, compact Cantor sets $a_j \in K_j \subset B(a_j, \rho_j)$ with $\mathcal{H}^1(K_j) = 0$, nonatomic probabilities μ_j with $\text{supp } \mu_j = K_j$, and weights $w_j = 2^{-j}$. Set $\mu := \sum_{j \geq 1} w_j \mu_j$. Then [Theorem 8.6](#) makes μ admissible. The dense centers give full support, while the countable union of the zero- $\mathcal{H}^1$ carriers shows that μ is singular; it is nonatomic because every μ_j is.

In the other direction, choose weights of infinite Shannon entropy and a Haar-generic sequence of atoms. Almost surely the atoms are dense, while [Theorem 8.4](#) gives $I_\mu(U) = \infty$ almost everywhere. This measure is atomic, singular, and also has full support. Thus neither singularity nor topological support, separately or together, characterizes admissibility.

9 Equivalent forms and geometric interpretation

The universal law has several useful reformulations. We record only those that clarify the incidence mechanism.

9.1 A coordinate Dirichlet identity

Take $k = p$ and $a_j = e_j$. If

$$S_j = U_j^2, \quad (S_1, \dots, S_p) \sim \text{Dirichlet}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

then

$$\|g_{a,w}(U)\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{w_j^2}{S_j}.$$

The finite incidence theorem therefore gives the following exact weighted-harmonic-mean identity.

Corollary 9.1 (Dirichlet reciprocal identity). *For every simplex vector w ,*

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{w_j^2}{S_j}\right)^{-1} \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right).$$

For active coordinates, the variable on the left is the weighted harmonic mean of S_j/w_j , with weights w_j . Thus every deterministic choice of the averaging weights produces the law of a single coordinate energy, even though all coordinates enter the harmonic mean. This identity is the orthogonal-coordinate specialization of the spherical theorem; it does not by itself explain invariance under a nonorthogonal arrangement.

The same formula has an information-geometric form. For probability vectors w and s with positive coordinates, define the Pearson divergence

$$D_{\chi^2}(w\|s) := \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{(w_j - s_j)^2}{s_j} = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{w_j^2}{s_j} - 1.$$

Corollary 9.2 (Pearson-divergence form). *If $S \sim \text{Dirichlet}(1/2, \dots, 1/2)$, then, for every simplex vector w ,*

$$D_{\chi^2}(w\|S) \sim \text{BetaPrime}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\chi_{p-1}^2}{\chi_1^2} = (p-1)F_{p-1,1}.$$

Here the two chi-square variables are independent.

Proof. By [Corollary 9.1](#), $\{1 + D_{\chi^2}(w\|S)\}^{-1}$ has the stated Beta law. The displayed Beta-prime and chi-square forms are the standard transformations of that variable. $\square$

9.2 The projective polar map and the Cremona special case

For strictly positive weights in the coordinate arrangement, the projective direction of the logarithmic gradient is

$$\mathcal{C}_w[x_1 : \dots : x_p] = [w_1/x_1 : \dots : w_p/x_p]. \quad (9.1)$$

This is a diagonally weighted version of the standard Cremona transformation: it is birational and $\mathcal{C}_w \circ \mathcal{C}_w$ is the identity wherever both sides are defined. The unit vector $N_{e,w}(u)$ is the spherical representative of $\mathcal{C}_w[u]$, so [Theorem 2.1](#) becomes an incidence law between a Haar ray and its weighted Cremona image. Polar maps of homogeneous functions and products of linear forms provide the surrounding algebraic geometry [[Dol00](#), [CDFV12](#)].

The terminology has a limited but legitimate scope. If $k = p$ and the arrangement matrix $A = [a_1, \dots, a_p]$ is invertible, then projectively

$$[x] \mapsto [A^\top x] \mapsto [w/(A^\top x)] \mapsto [A\{w/(A^\top x)\}] = [g_{a,w}(x)]$$

is a Cremona map composed with projective linear changes of coordinates. For dependent or overcomplete arrangements, the logarithmic-gradient map remains a rational map after denominators are cleared but need not be a Cremona transformation. In neither case does this algebraic description imply preservation of spherical Haar or projective volume; it identifies the map, not the probability mechanism.

9.3 Conormal, tangent-plane, and log-barrier interpretations

The tangent-plane calculation in [Section 2](#) says that the reciprocal field is an Euler-normalized centro-affine conormal, up to the conventional choice of transversal sign, and that the incidence variable is the squared origin-to-tangent-plane distance. Arrangement master functions provide the logarithmic-gradient background [\[CDFV12\]](#); for the centro-affine terminology, see [\[NP87\]](#).

Positivity has an additional convex interpretation. On a chamber choose signs ε_j so that $\varepsilon_j a_j^\top x > 0$, and define the logarithmic barrier

$$\mathcal{B}(x) := - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j \log(\varepsilon_j a_j^\top x).$$

Then

$$g_{a,w}(x) = -\nabla \mathcal{B}(x), \quad \nabla^2 \mathcal{B}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k w_j \frac{a_j a_j^\top}{(a_j^\top x)^2} \succeq 0.$$

Thus the same vector is a conormal to a master-function level set and the negative gradient of a convex chamber barrier. This explains geometrically why positive weights form the natural class, although it does not by itself prove the Beta law.

None of these descriptions asserts that $u \mapsto N(u)$ preserves Haar measure, affine volume, or projective volume. The theorem identifies one scalar pushforward only. The swirl example in [Example 6.1](#) makes this limitation concrete.

10 Discussion and open problems

The results distinguish three levels of information. The unconditional Beta marginal determines exactly the tangent-norm law but does not determine a gradient. Plane-conditional Cauchy laws recover the combined positive coefficients of every fixed finite reciprocal arrangement, and recover magnitudes but not measurable signs in the zonal class. Adding an oriented Hardy condition removes that sign ambiguity. For signed reciprocal measures, the conditional laws make every phase pushforward positive and expose an exact cancellation deficit equal to twice the negative mass. A phase-norming condition then gives local-to-global positivity without requiring injectivity. Only opposite-sign collisions matter: a cross-sign product-dimension criterion is sufficient, and negative atoms are ruled out with no separation assumption. The classical signed boundary-tail input is what turns each one-dimensional Cauchy projection into positivity before projective tomography addresses the remaining diffuse cancellation.

On the infinite side, [Theorem 8.1](#) reduces absolute integrability exactly to a projection Dini series. [Theorems 8.4](#) and [8.6](#) show why neither weights nor geometry alone can classify all measures.

The critical endpoint is already nontrivial: zero $\mathcal{H}^1$ -length is sufficient, while a rectifiable component is obstructive.

The remaining problems are consequently narrower than the initial classification questions.

1. Find intrinsic energy or capacity conditions equivalent to the almost-everywhere Wiener series in [Eq. \(8.3\)](#). At dimension one, determine the boundary between the zero-length result in [Corollary 8.8](#) and the rectifiable obstruction in [Theorem 8.9](#), especially for purely unrectifiable carriers of positive finite $\mathcal{H}^1$ -measure.
2. Decide whether reciprocal integrability and plane-conditional Cauchy laws force the phase deficit in [Eq. \(7.16\)](#) to vanish. Equivalently, can a nonzero diffuse negative part remain dominated by the positive part in almost every phase pushforward? More generally, under an oriented analytic hypothesis, determine when a compatible family of inner Cayley boundary maps must arise from one positive reciprocal measure on projective space. The sign family in [Eq. \(6.10\)](#) shows that no unoriented version can hold.
3. Characterize the singular circle measures satisfying the ordinary secant Dini condition in [Eq. \(3.1\)](#). Clark theory alone controls nontangential boundary values and does not supply this absolute-integrability property.

AI-use disclosure

During the development of this manuscript, OpenAI GPT-5.6 Sol, operating through Codex, assisted with literature searching, mathematical exploration, and writing. Anthropic Claude Opus 5 was used for independent proof audits and critical manuscript review. The author made all substantive decisions and accepts full responsibility for the mathematical claims, citations, and text.

References

- [AW15] Michael Aizenman and Simone Warzel. On the ubiquity of the Cauchy distribution in spectral problems. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 163:61–87, 2015.
- [BM45] A. S. Besicovitch and P. A. P. Moran. The measure of product and cylinder sets. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 20:110–120, 1945.
- [Boo57] George Boole. On the comparison of transcendents, with certain applications to the theory of definite integrals. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, 147:745–803, 1857.
- [CDFV12] Daniel C. Cohen, Graham Denham, Michael Falk, and Alexander Varchenko. Vanishing products of one-forms and critical points of master functions. In *Arrangements of Hyperplanes—Sapporo 2009*, volume 62 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 75–107. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2012.
- [Cla72] Douglas N. Clark. One dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts. *J. Analyse Math.*, 25:169–191, 1972.
- [CMR06] Joseph A. Cima, Alec L. Matheson, and William T. Ross. *The Cauchy Transform*, volume 125 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

- [Dol00] Igor V. Dolgachev. Polar Cremona transformations. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:191–202, 2000.
- [Dur70] Peter L. Duren. *Theory of H^p Spaces*, volume 38 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [Fal14] Kenneth J. Falconer. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. Wiley, Chichester, 3 edition, 2014.
- [Hej04] Dennis A. Hejhal. On the horizontal distribution of zeros of linear combinations of Euler products. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 338(10):755–758, 2004.
- [HM12] Rajat Subhra Hazra and Krishanu Maulik. Tail behavior of randomly weighted sums. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 44(3):794–814, 2012.
- [KKYY07] Nigel J. Kalton, Alexander Koldobsky, Vladyslav Yaskin, and Maryna Yaskina. The geometry of L_0 . *Canad. J. Math.*, 59(5):1029–1049, 2007.
- [Let77] Gérard Letac. Which functions preserve Cauchy laws? *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67(2):277–286, 1977.
- [Mat95] Pertti Mattila. *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces: Fractals and Rectifiability*, volume 44 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Nor68] Eric A. Nordgren. Composition operators. *Canad. J. Math.*, 20:442–449, 1968.
- [NP87] Katsumi Nomizu and Ulrich Pinkall. On the geometry of affine immersions. *Math. Z.*, 195(2):165–178, 1987.
- [PM16] Natesh S. Pillai and Xiao-Li Meng. An unexpected encounter with Cauchy and Lévy. *Ann. Statist.*, 44(5):2089–2097, 2016.
- [Pol96] Alexei G. Poltoratski. On the distributions of boundary values of Cauchy integrals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(8):2455–2463, 1996.
- [PW67] E. J. G. Pitman and E. J. Williams. Cauchy-distributed functions of Cauchy variates. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 38(3):916–918, 1967.
- [Rub08] Boris Rubin. Intersection bodies and generalized cosine transforms. *Adv. Math.*, 218(3):696–727, 2008.
- [Tri82] Claude Tricot, Jr. Two definitions of fractional dimension. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 91(1):57–74, 1982.
- [Wil69] E. J. Williams. Cauchy-distributed functions and a characterization of the Cauchy distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 40(3):1083–1085, 1969.